

Erratum to the Detailed description of the priorities of Operational Programme Innovative Economy 2007-2013, approved by the Minister of Regional Development on 29 January 2009.

Concerning	Place in the document	Previous tenor (was)	Suggested tenor (should be)	Justification
Measure 5.3	General part, chapter 2.6 <i>Documents connected with implementing OP IE, paragraph 34, point 3 (e).</i>	Ordinance of the Minister of Regional Development of ...2009. on granting financial aid to innovation centres by the Polish Agency for Enterprise Development under the Operational Programme Innovative Economy 2007-2013 (for measure 5.3)	Ordinance of Minister of Regional Development of 30 January 2009 on granting financial aid to innovation centres by the Polish Agency for Enterprise Development under the Operational Programme Innovative Economy 2007-2013 (Dz.U. No 21 item 116) (for measure 5.3).	On 10 February 2009 the Ordinance entered into force regulating the rules of granting support in Measure 5.3. The date and reference number of this Ordinance was added.
	Measure 5.3 card, section 15 and 16 on page 89 and section 25 on page 90.	In accordance with the Ordinance of Minister of Regional Development of ...2009 on granting financial aid to innovation centres by the Polish Agency for the Enterprise Development under the Innovative Economy Operational Programme 2007-2013	In accordance with the Ordinance of Minister of Regional Development of 30 January 2009 on granting financial aid to innovation centres by the Polish Agency for Enterprise Development under the Operational Programme Innovative Economy 2007-2013 (Dz.U. No 21 item 116)	In relation to the Ordinance which entered into force regulating the rules of granting support in Measure 5.3, in the Measure 5.3 card .the date and reference number was added
The list of individual projects.	Annex 4.5List of individual projects, page 269	The list of individual projects, according to the Announcement of the Minister of Regional Development of 6 August 2008 on the list of individual projects for the Operational Programme Innovative Economy for 2007-2013 (M.P. of 18 August 2008 No 60, item 536).	The list of individual projects, according to the Statement of the Minister of Regional Development of 11 February 2009 on the list of individual projects for the Operational Programme Innovative Economy for 2007-2013 (M.P. of 20 February 2009 No 10, item 135).	On 2 February 2009 Minister of Regional Development signed the List of individual projects for the Operational Programme Innovative Economy for 2007-2013. The document includes the applicable list. Publication date of the Statement of the Minister of Regional Development on the list of individual projects for OP IE was given in the Monitor Polski with reference number of the Statement was added.
	Measure cards: 1.1 (section 14, page 17),	Within the measure projects shall be co-financed in accordance with the Announcement of the Minister of Regional	Within the measure ...projects shall be co-financed which are indexed on the List of individual projects for the Operational	In measure cards, in the measures in which individual projects are supported each reference to the

	<p>1.2 (section 14, page 21),</p> <p>1.3 (section 14, page 24),</p> <p>1.4 (section 14, page 29),</p> <p>3.2 (section 14, page 49),</p> <p>5.2 (section 18, page 85),</p> <p>5.3 (section 14, page 88),</p> <p>6.4 (section 14, page 112 (section 25, page 113 (section 29, page 114),</p> <p>7 (section 14, page 122)</p>	<p>Development of 6 August 2008 on the list of individual projects for the Operational Programme Innovative Economy e for 2007-2013(M.P. of 18 August 2008 No 60, item 536)</p>	<p>Programme Innovative Economy for 2007-2013, in accordance with Annex No 4.5 <i>The list of individual projects.</i></p>	<p>Announcement of the Minister of Regional Development was removed, and the reference to the included in Annex 4.5 to Detailed description of the priorities of the List of individual projects for OP IE was introduced. The change is not of content-related nature.</p>
	<p>Description of measures implementation system: 3.2 (page 200), 5.2 (page 220), 5.3 (page 225), 6.4 (238), 7 (page 242)</p>	<p>Measure ... shall cover only individual projects included in the Announcement of the Minister of Regional Development of 6 August 2008 on the list of individual projects for the Operational Programme Innovative Economy for 2007-20013 (M.P. of 18 August 2008 No 60, item 536).</p>	<p>Measure ... shall cover only individual projects indexed on the List of individual projects for the Operational Programme Innovative Economy for 2007-2013, in accordance with Annex No 4.5 <i>The list of individual projects.</i></p>	<p>In Annex 4.3 Description of measures implementation system, in measures in which individual projects shall be supported, each reference to Announcement of the Minister of Regional Development was removed, and the reference to the included in Annex 4.5 to Detailed description of the priorities of the List of individual projects for OP IE was introduced. The change is not of content-related nature.</p>
<p>Justification of measure 3.3</p>	<p>Measure 3.3 card, section 12 page. 53</p>	<p>Implementation of the measure will enable supporting the entrepreneurs in preparing them for obtaining external source of</p>	<p>Implementation of the measure will enable supporting the entrepreneurs from SME sector in preparing them for obtaining</p>	<p>The change concerns the aim and justification of the measure and consists in specifying the scope of the</p>

		<p>financing. The measure also aims at supporting the network of investors and increasing awareness of entrepreneurs of the benefits and services offered by such networks. The support will also include the creation of platforms associating investors and entrepreneurs who search for financing and exchange of experiences between investors. It is assumed that due to the implementation of the measure, number of investments made by investors (mainly business angels) in innovative projects will increase. Due to co-financing of the issue costs, the increased number of companies' offers is envisaged on the new market of Stock Exchange dedicated to companies from SMEs sector.</p>	<p>external source of financing of share nature designated for the implementation of innovative undertakings, by means of co-financing the costs of consulting services within documentation preparation and analysis essential for obtaining such financing. Due to co-financing of the costs of consulting services aiming at documentation preparation and analysis essential for the issue, the increased number of companies' offers is envisaged on the new market of Stock Exchange dedicated to companies from SMEs sector.</p> <p>The measure aims also at supporting the network of investors and increasing awareness of entrepreneurs of the benefits and services offered by such networks. The support will also include the creation of platforms associating investors and entrepreneurs who search for financing and exchange of experiences between investors. It is assumed that due to the implementation of the measure, number of investments made by investors (mainly business angels) in innovative projects will increase.</p>	<p>support for SME. Abovementioned change is essential in relation to providing the coherence of the provisions of the Detailed description of the priorities with the assumptions of Operational Programme within the support for SME in measure 3.3. Previous documentation did not specify the issue sufficiently which caused many doubts among applicants. The change is a result of conducted consultations with IB and IA.</p>
<p>Names of the departments acting as Intermediate Bodies.</p>	<p>Measure 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4 cards, section 10.</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>Footnote: Names of the departments fulfilling the tasks of Intermediate Bodies may undergo a change. By the time of approving new organisational Regulations of separate Ministries the function of Intermediate Body shall be performed by indicated departments.</p>	<p>In relation to the fact that in both the Ministry of Interior and Administration and the Ministry of Science and Higher Education during the change of the Detailed description of the priorities reorganisation was taking place, the names of particular departments acting as IB have been removed. Considering the fact that the names of the departments should be demonstrated in the Detailed</p>

				description of the priorities it was decided that previously removed names shall be restored and a proper footnote shall be added.
Concept of Innovative Projects Promoters.	Description of the implementation system of Measure 4.2, definition of Innovative Projects Promoters. page 206.	<p>Innovative Projects Promoters (IPP) – are appointed by institutions registered in the National System of Services for Small and Medium Business (NSS) and other organisations of entrepreneurs, selected as a result of a competition organised by the PAED. They have appropriate competencies which ensure high quality of services provided to entrepreneurs. The IPP will perform a formal verification of an applicant and project and preliminary substantial verification of project on the basis of completed application for co-financing and a list of eligible expenditures.</p> <p>Applicants are not obliged to make use of IPP’s services. This consists only in verification of project eligibility for co-financing under a given measure. A positive opinion of IPP is not binding. A lack of positive IPP opinion does not preclude the possibility of submitting an application to RFI. Regardless of the results of IPP assessment, a project may start pursuant to the rules defined for the measure, i.e. one day after the submission of an application for co-financing.</p>	<p><u>Innovative Projects Promoters (IPP)</u> – are appointed by institutions registered in the National System of Services for Small and Medium Business (NSS) and other organisations of entrepreneurs, selected as a result of a competition organised by the PAED. They have appropriate competencies which ensure high quality of services provided to entrepreneurs. The IPP will perform a preliminary formal verification of an applicant and project and preliminary substantial verification of project on the basis of completed application for co-financing.</p> <p>Innovative Projects Promoters shall provide services within institutions performing the function of Regional Financing Institution (RFI).</p> <p>Applicants are not obliged to make use of IPP’s services. This consists only in verification of project eligibility for co-financing under a given measure. A positive opinion of IPP is not binding or unequivocal with a positive evaluation of the project and obtaining co-financing. On the other hand, IPP’s confirmation that the project as a rule can be qualified for co-financing gives the entrepreneur a possibility to start with the project and incurring the costs from the day after receiving the confirmation. The day of IPP’s confirmation that a project as a rule is qualified for co-financing is at the same time treated as a day of submission</p>	<p>The change introduces the agreed by IB and IA concept of Innovative Projects Promoters, which adjusts the nature of the IPP’s activity to the Commission Regulation (EC) No 800/2008. In accordance with the concept, IPP’s confirmation that the project as a rule can be qualified for co-financing gives the entrepreneur a possibility to start with the project and incurring the costs from the day after receiving the confirmation.</p> <p>The concept has been included in competition documentation developed by IA in consultation with MA and IB, whereas it has not been yet reflected in the Detailed description of the priorities. Introduction of this change is essential in relation to maintaining cohesion of the competition documentation before the beginning of the call for proposals.</p>

			<p>of the application on co-financing in PAED. Subsequently entrepreneur within 10 days from the day of receiving the confirmation shall be obliged to submitting to PAED complete documentation consisting of the application approved by IPP, business plan and the remaining annexes.</p> <p>At the same time a lack of a positive IPP's opinion does not preclude the possibility of submitting an application (including business plan and annexes) to PAED. In that case and in the case of not using IPP's service by an applicant the beginning of project implementation may take place on the next day after submission of the application on co-financing in PAED.</p>	
Concept of Innovative Projects Promoters.	Description of the implementation system of Measure 4.4, definition of the Innovative Projects Promoters. page 212.	<p><u>Innovative Projects Promoters (IPP)</u> – are appointed by institutions registered in the National System of Services for Small and Medium Business (NSS) and other organisations of entrepreneurs, selected as a result of a competition organised by the PAED. They have appropriate competencies which ensure high quality of services provided to entrepreneurs. The IPP will perform a preliminary formal verification of an applicant and project and preliminary substantial verification of project on the basis of completed application for co-financing. (1 part of the application).</p> <p>Applicants are not obliged to make use of IPP's services. This consists only in verification of project eligibility for co-financing under a given measure. A positive opinion of IPP is not binding. A</p>	<p><u>Innovative Projects Promoters (IPP)</u> – are appointed by institutions registered in the National System of Services for Small and Medium Business (NSS) and other organisations of entrepreneurs, selected as a result of a competition organised by the PAED. They have appropriate competencies which ensure high quality of services provided to entrepreneurs. The IPP will perform a preliminary formal verification of an applicant and project and preliminary substantial verification of project on the basis of completed application for co-financing.(1 part of the application).</p> <p>Innovative Projects Promoters shall provide services within institutions performing the function of Regional Financing Institution (RFI)</p>	<p>The change introduces the agreed by IB and IA concept of Innovative Projects Promoters, which adjusts the nature of the IPP's activity to the Commission Regulation (EC) No 800/2008. In accordance with the concept, IPP's confirmation that the project as a rule can be qualified for co-financing gives the entrepreneur a possibility to start with the project and incurring the costs from the day after receiving the confirmation.</p> <p>The concept has been included in competition documentation developed by IA in consultation with MA and IB, whereas it has not yet been reflected in the Detailed description of the priorities. Introduction of this change is essential in relation to maintain cohesion of the competition</p>

		<p>lack of positive IPP opinion does not preclude the possibility of submitting an application to RFI. Regardless of the results of IPP assessment, a project may start pursuant to the rules defined for the measure, i.e. one day after the submission of an application for co-financing.</p>	<p>Applicants are not obliged to make use of IPP's services. This consists only in verification of project eligibility for co-financing under a given measure. A positive opinion of IPP is not binding or unequivocal with a positive evaluation of the project and obtaining co-financing. On the other hand, IPP's confirmation that the project as a rule can be qualified for co-financing gives the entrepreneur a possibility to start with the project and incurring the costs from the day after receiving the confirmation. The day of IPP's confirmation that a project as a rule is qualified for co-financing is at the same time treated as a day of submission of the application on co-financing in RFI. Subsequently entrepreneur within 10 days from the day of receiving the confirmation shall be obliged to submitting to RFI the remaining required documents (business plan and annexes).</p> <p>At the same time a lack of a positive IPP's opinion does not preclude the possibility of submitting an application (including business plan and annexes) to RFI. In that case and in the case of not using IPP's service by an applicant the beginning of project implementation may take place on the next day after submission of the application on co-financing in RFI.</p>	<p>documentation before the beginning of the call for proposals.</p>
<p>Standardisation of the terms of evaluation of the individual projects in the Priority Axis 7</p>	<p>Description of the implementation system of Priority Axis 7, time of application evaluation, page</p>	<p><u>Time of application evaluation</u></p> <p>The process from sending to the MA the set of documents concerning the project by the Applicant to the time of signing the contract lasts about 30 days.</p>	<p><u>Time of application evaluation</u></p> <p>The process from sending to the MA the set of documents concerning the project by the applicant to the time of signing the contract does not last longer than 6 days counting</p>	<p>The change concerns standardisation of the terms in the selection system of individual projects (6 months for evaluation) and individual projects, of which the beneficiary is IB (30 days for evaluation) in Priority Axis 7.</p>

	244		from the moment of submitting the application.	Moreover, definitions of Project Evaluation Committee and experts evaluating individual projects in Priority Axis 7 have also been standardised (in the case of the selection system of individual projects the definitions are much broader than in the case of the projects of which the beneficiary is IB).
	Description of the implementation system of Priority Axis 7, definition of the Project Evaluation Committee, page 244	<u>Project Evaluation Committee (PEC)</u> – MA workers and external experts appointed for substantive evaluation of the individual projects of the MSWiA. The external experts are appointed from the list of the Minister of the Regional Development. The PEC assesses the project documentation – the application for co-financing with annexes – basing on the substantive criteria approved by the Monitoring Committee IE OP. The result of the PEC works is a recommendation of the project for support or its lack.	<u>Project Evaluation Committee (PEC)</u> – MA workers and external experts appointed for substantive evaluation of the individual projects of the MSWiA. The PEC assesses the project documentation – the application for co-financing with annexes – basing on the substantive criteria approved by the Monitoring Committee IE OP. The result of the PEC works is a recommendation of the project for support or its lack. Experts – experts from the list conducted by the MRR or experts connected with for example research and development units, universities, associations conduct the substantive assessment of the projects within the CE.	
Appeal procedure	Annex 4.4 Appeal procedure Article 6, page 258, section IV, page 267	§ 6 Subjective scope 1. A protest can be lodged by any applicant whose project was evaluated negatively. The applicant's right in this scope arises when they receive written information on the results of their project evaluation.	§ 6 Subjective scope 1. A protest can be lodged by any applicant whose project was evaluated negatively. The applicant's right in this scope arises when they receive written information on the results of their project evaluation. 2. The negative evaluation of the project means such an evaluation (or its given stage) of which the results do not allow for qualifying the project for co-financing (or directed to the next stage of evaluation, which as a result also prevents from qualifying the project for co-financing). The decision may not be appealed against if the allocations for a given competition are not available. Section IV	In Article 6 a point defining negative evaluation of the project was added. Section IV was added to chapter II, specifying provision on the lack of additional means of appeal after termination of judicial proceeding. Both changes result from the specifying provisions in MRR Guidelines within the scope of the requirements, which should include appeal procedures established for operational programmes for competitions announced from 20 December 2008, the changes and consultations of which lasted after accepting appeal procedure included in the version of Detailed description of the priorities of 29 January 2009.

			<p style="text-align: center;">Final provisions concerning the judicial proceedings</p> <p style="text-align: center;">§ 34</p> <p>Each time competent IEP or IEA, which appears in the judicial proceeding as a party, immediately inform Managing Authority about the fact of lodging a complaint.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">§ 35</p> <p>1. Completion of the judicial proceeding within the given project, applicant of which lodged claim in the administrative court signifies termination of the entire appeal procedure. Applicant is not entitled to any other additional means of appeal.</p> <p>2. Also until the settlement of competent IEP or IEA, issued in relation to passing the case for reconsideration by the court applicant is not entitled to any means of appeal.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--